

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,942	10/02/2001	Royce W. Johnson	VAC.483.US	8824
60402 KINETIC CON	7590 11/16/2007 NCEPTS, INC.		EXAM	INER
ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F	
P.O. BOX 659: SAN ANTONI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Driiv riivi Oivi	10, 17, 70203			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ü
	09/937,942	JOHNSON, ROYCE W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final owance except for formal matter		•
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by othe drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been rureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/07 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive. Applicant argues Keogh does not teach grafting of a wound healing factor and Keogh only teaches indirect bonding between a bioactive agent and a bloodcontacting article, and thus does not teach or suggest grafting wound healing factors into the porous pad. The Examiner has relied on Keogh to show the benefits of grafting an agent onto a polymeric substance. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/937,942 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zamierowski in view of Keogh et al. USPN 5344455.

Zamierowski teaches a wound healing combination comprising a porous pad 12, 14 that is permeable to fluids and is injected with a plurality of wound healing factors and a suction tube 28 in fluid communication with the porous pad and a vacuum source. Zamierowski teaches a wound healing combination with a porous pad 12, 14 injected with a plurality of wound healing factors, such as growth factors, antibiotics, and analgesics (col. 4, lines 42-46). It is inherent that medical care that growth factors in wound healing comprise of a basic fibroblast growth factor and an antimicrobial agent comprise an antibiotic.

Zamierowski does not disclose the limitation of grafting wound healing factors into the pad. Keogh discloses grafting a bioactive agent, such as basic fibroblast growth factor, onto a polymeric substance for use in blood-contacing polymeric surfaces that do not promote red cell destruction and coagulation of blood (Keogh col. 1, lines 14-20; col. 2, lines 1-31; col. 4,lines 44-55; col. 10, line 63 through col. 11, line 6; col. 14, lines 5-37). One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to graft the wound-healing agent of Zamierowski onto the pad of Zamierowski for the benefits

Art Unit: 3761

that Keogh teaches. Zamierowski/Keogh further discloses drapes 18, 22, 24 for sealing the pad.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/937,942 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 13, 2007